



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_50639
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of watermelon rind (Citrullus lanatus) addition on the chemical and sensory quality of sorghum based mumu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript was well written. I put some few comments inside the document.	Done
Minor REVISION comments	I commented on the document especially spacing between the value and the unit.	
Optional/General comments	The study was so interesting especially when the author try to utilise the waste and make it used as a functional food products. Microbial analyses was not conducted but pH values supposed to conducted to determine the shelf life of the flour produced.	OKay

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Yes, sensory evaluation where human were involved.	